

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the above-referenced application are respectfully requested. The foregoing amendments are responsive to the May 9, 2006 Office Action. Applicants respectfully request entry of the requested amendments and reconsideration of the application in view of the following comments.

Amendments to the Claims

Claims 9, 16, and 21 were identified as including the tradename “Microsoft® Windows CE device.” The claims are amended herein to remove the tradename.

Response to the Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C § 103

Claims 1-5, 7-9, and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,484,149 issued to Jammes, et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,516,416 issued to Gregg, et al. and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,519,617 issued to Wanderski, et al. The rejection asserts that Jammes allegedly teaches each element of the claims except for maintaining temporary data and using the received data to modify remote access data, which is allegedly taught by Gregg and/or Wanderski.

The claims are amended herein to further clarify the present invention. The claims as amended are directed toward remotely accessing subscriber information from an enterprise network in real-time in which a rendering module interacts with a screen bank containing screen data, wherein the rendering module uses the screen data to reformat said data for said remote access device based on said device specific information. The screen bank is shown in Figure 5, item 506 and described in the specification in paragraphs 0074 and 0075. As described in the specification, the screen bank contains screen data including templates (paragraph 0075) which

the rendering module can then use to format the data. The screen bank allows formatting of device specific information in a simple and quick manner.

None of the cited art teaches or suggests the use of a screen bank with screen data or templates. Jammes, Gregg, and Wanderski only discuss modifying the information into browser specific formats using information such as type of browser or operating system. None of the cited art teaches or suggests using a database of screen information including screen data and templates. By using templates, the formatting into device specific information is simplified and improved. Using the techniques suggested by the prior art involves a) increased use of processor time and power, and b) more guesswork as to the proper screen format. Only the present invention simplifies the formatting using the screen templates.

In view of the foregoing distinctions, Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claims 1, 10, and 17 are patentably distinguished over the cited art. Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 1, 10, and 17 are in condition for allowance, and Applicants respectfully request allowance of Claims 1, 10, and 17.

Claims 2-5, 7-9, 11-16, and 18-21 depend either directly or indirectly from one of the independent claims. Each dependent claim further defines the independent claim from which it depends. In view of the foregoing remarks regarding Claims 1, 10, and 17, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 2-5, 7-9, 11-16, and 18-21 are likewise in condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request allowance of dependent Claims 2-5, 7-9, 11-16, and 18-21.

CONCLUSION

In light of the amendments contained herein, Applicants submit that the application is in condition for allowance, for which early action is requested.

Please charge any fees or overpayments that may be due with this response to Deposit Account No. 17-0026.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated August 23, 2006

By: /Robert J. O'Connell/
Robert J. O'Connell
Reg. No. 44,265
(858) 651-4361

QUALCOMM Incorporated
Attn: Patent Department
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, California 92121-1714
Telephone: (858) 658-5787
Facsimile: (858) 658-2502